

Notice of Meeting

Farnham Board

**Date & time**

Friday, 16 June
2023
at 10.00 am

Place

Hybrid Meeting via
Zoom

Contact

Tel
farnham.boardmeetings@surreycc.gov.uk

The purpose of the Board is to bring partners, residents and businesses together to ensure our deliverables are met and that Farnham maintains its position as a thriving community and town as set out in the adopted Farnham Neighbourhood Plan.

Specifically, the Board will:

1. Consider the Farnham Town Centre, A31 Hickley's Corner and A325 Wrecclesham Infrastructure Schemes, together with any related impacts
2. Determine and agree the specific outcomes and objectives for the Schemes
3. Ensure that the necessary resources from the various partners will be made available in a timely way
4. Set up specific task and finish working groups as required
5. Take evidence and advice from members of the community and representative bodies, as well as professional experts
6. Consider national initiatives and good practice in respect of the proposals to ensure the future prosperity of the town, especially in regard to business, retail, personal wellbeing and climate change
7. Consider and make recommendations on the projects, plans and resources to achieve the agreed outcomes and priorities
8. Seek to secure the capital and revenue investment to deliver agreed projects and plans, including from Government, LEP and other sources
9. Oversee the commissioning, procurement, sponsorship and delivery of agreed projects
10. Take cognisance of other planning and design processes for example the extant Master-planning process, the Waverley Local Plan and the Farnham Neighbourhood Plan.

Member	Representing
Borough Councillor Paul Follows	Waverley Borough Council
Mr Jeremy Hunt MP	South West Surrey
County Councillor Matt Furniss	Surrey County Council
County Councillor Andy MacLeod	Surrey County Council
Town Councillor David Beaman	Farnham Town Council
County Councillor Tim Oliver	Surrey County Council
County Councillor Michaela Martin	Surrey County Council
County Councillor Catherine Powell	Surrey County Council
Borough Councillor Peter Clark	Waverley Borough Council

AGENDA

- 1 **WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS** (Pages 5 - 8)
- 2 **ACTIONS AND MINUTES FROM THE LAST MEETING** (Pages 9 - 16)
- 3 **SHORT AND MEDIUM-TERM INTERVENTIONS UPDATE**
 - Wayfinding Strategy
 - 20mph Limits/Zones and Speed restrictions
 - Borelli Park and Stride
 - Water Lane Roundabout
- 4 **TOWN CENTRE UPDATE**
- 5 **LOCAL CYCLING AND WALKING INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN (LCWIP) UPDATE**
- 6 **FARNHAM A31 CORRIDOR UPDATE**
- 7 **WRECCLESHAM AND WESTERN BYPASSES UPDATE**
- 8 **ADJACENT PROJECTS UPDATE**
 - Wrecclesham Bridge
 - A31 Speed Management Scheme
- 9 **PROGRAMME AND RISK UPDATE** (Pages 17 - 24)
- 10 **QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION**
 - Review of questions submitted in advance (project related)
- 11 **AOB**

Date of next meeting will be 15 September 2023.

Joanna Killian
Chief Executive
Published: Date Not Specified

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

This page is intentionally left blank



Agenda

Farnham Board



Agenda

Farnham Board

Date & Time:	16 June 2023, 10:00-11:30
Venue:	Hybrid Meeting - Farnham Town Council Offices
Chairman/SRO:	Cllr Tim Oliver
Standing attendees:	<p>Members Cllr Matt Furniss, Rt Hon Jeremy Hunt MP, Cllr Michaela Martin, Cllr Catherine Powell, Cllr Andy MacLeod, Cllr Paul Follows, Cllr Peter Clark, Cllr David Beaman.</p> <p>Officers SCC - Katie Stewart, Lee Parker, David Stempf, Elaine Martin, Katie Ludvigsen Anna Miller, Mohammed Ali, Ben Funning. WBC - Tom Horwood, Abi Lewis, Dawn Hudd. FTC - Iain Lynch Atkins - Chris Greenwood</p>

No.	Item	Speaker	Paper
1	Welcome and introduction	TO	Verbal
2	Actions and minutes from the last meeting	TO	Verbal
Note: detail is provided in Progress Report			
3	Short and Medium-Term Interventions update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wayfinding Strategy 20mph Limits/ Zones and Speed restrictions Borelli Park and Stride Water Lane Roundabout 	EM / DS	Verbal
4	Town Centre update	EM / DS Atkins	Presentation
5	Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) update	EM / DS	Verbal
6	Farnham A31 Corridor update	EM / DS	Verbal
7	Wrecclesham and Western Bypasses update	EM / DS	Verbal
8	Adjacent Projects update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wrecclesham Bridge A31 Speed Management Scheme 	DK	Presentation

9	Programme and Risk update	EM / DS	Verbal/Paper
10	Questions and discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of questions submitted in advance (project related) 	EM / DS	Verbal
11	AOB The next meeting will be held on 15 September 2023.	All	Verbal

This page is intentionally left blank



Farnham Board - meeting notes

24 March 2023



Minutes

Date & Time:	24 March 2023, 10:00-11:30
Venue:	Hybrid Meeting - Farnham Town Council Offices
Chair:	Cllr Tim Oliver
In attendance:	Cllr Matt Furniss, Cllr Michaela Martin, Cllr Catherine Powell, Cllr Andy MacLeod, Cllr Paul Follows, Cllr Peter Clark, Cllr David Beaman
Observers:	David Stempfer, Elaine Martin, Katie Ludvigsen, Anna Miller, Mohammed Ali, Iain Lynch, Chris Greenwood, Alex Pye, Pat Evans, Richard Nelson, Neil McClure, Richard Franklin
Apologies:	Rt Hon Jeremy Hunt MP

	Item
1	<p>Welcome and Introduction</p> <p>The Chair welcomed attendees to the hybrid meeting and extended his thanks to people from Farnham Town Council, Waverley Borough Council and Surrey County Council for their work to drive this forward to delivery over the coming months.</p> <p>Apologies for absence was noted from Rt Hon Jeremy Hunt MP.</p>
2	<p>Actions and minutes from the last meeting</p> <p>Minutes of the previous meeting 16 December 2022 have previously been circulated. David Stempfer provided a brief update on discussions around the Upper Hart Link following the last meeting, confirming that this was not part of the Town Centre improvement scope, but could be considered at a later date.</p> <p>Cllr David Beaman asked if the Chair had received the Farnham Town Council paper on the Upper Hart and it was confirmed that it had not been received.</p> <p>ACTION: Cllr Beaman to share the report with the Board.</p> <p>The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.</p>
3	<p>Short and Medium-Term Interventions update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wayfinding Strategy • 20mph Limits/ Zones and Speed restrictions • Reclassifying of the A325 • Borelli Park and Stride • Water Lane Roundabout <p>Elaine Martin provided an update on work which has been undertaken since the last meeting. The A325 reclassification work has been completed and conversations are still underway with Google Maps to get their information updated. The HGV restriction work is now completed, but dialogue is still underway with Hampshire County Council to ensure their signage reflects these restrictions. The wayfinding map signs are currently being installed, with 7 out of 13 completed and the remaining 6 will be installed from May 2023 with discussions underway with landowners.</p>

	Item
	<p>The 20mph speed limit in Weydon Lane, Upper Hale Road and Farnham Town Centre has been approved by Surrey County Council Highways and the local County Councillors. The Town Centre and Weydon Lane works have been approved to go to construction and work is being programmed in. Although the speed limit in Upper Hale Road has been approved, the physical measures have been amended following feedback from the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to change the proposed speed cushions to speed tables and to include a crossing point adjacent to Spring Lane. This will go out for re-consultation in June 23.</p> <p>Borelli Park and Stride scheme was identified as one of the priority Medium-Term interventions, a route within the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan and has funding from development. Elaine Martin shared a plan of the proposed site and gave an overview of the different elements. It was noted that the proposed bridge as part of the Brightwells development was yet to be approved. The Chair clarified the issue with the proposed bridge is that it would be too narrow for both pedestrians and cyclists to use simultaneously. Iain Lynch highlighted an alternative bridge and footpath proposal which had been discussed at the recent Farnham Town Council meeting. Elaine Martin clarified that the existing bridge was a Waverley BC asset, but that Surrey had a right of way route across it. David Stempfer confirmed that discussions with the developer, Transport Development Planning and Waverly Borough Council were underway regarding the bridge and possible options. Cllr Andy MacLeod supported David Stempfer's suggestions and highlighted the need for a cycle bridge into the new Brightwell's Development.</p> <p>Elaine Martin provided an overview of the proposal to amend Water Lane junction following complaints around lane discipline with the current lane markings. Total costs of the work would be £505,000. Funding of £405,000 has been awarded by Waverley's Community Infrastructure Levy, with £100,000 of developer contributions. Elaine Martin explained that the Water Lane junction improvements will also include a new toucan crossing to encourage active travel.</p> <p>Cllr Beaman asked about the timescales for the implementations of the 20mph zones and asked whether it could be extended up Castle Hill, as this view had been strongly shared at a recent Town Council meeting. Elaine Martin confirmed that implementation of 20mph speed limits Phase 1 works at Weydon Lane and the Town Centre would start in June 23. The second phase would come later after the TRO consultation work following the amended proposals. Elaine Martin recommended waiting to assess how the new 20mph impacted the town before work started on any further extensions on 20mph zones. Cllr MacLeod asked whether the road barriers, which are often damaged by vehicles, would be able to be removed once the 20mph zone had been implemented. Elaine Martin confirmed that this would be part of the Town Centre project and alternatives to barriers, such as planting could also be considered. The Chair confirmed that extending the 20mph was not under consideration at the moment but could be considered after the current planned works had been implemented.</p> <p>Iain Lynch highlighted the concerns from the Town Council regarding the impact of new street furniture for the 20mph scheme on Castle Street adjacent to listed buildings on the road. Elaine Martin confirmed that discussions had been undertaken with Waverley BC and Surrey CC Heritage teams and Historic England who are all comfortable with the style proposed for the temporary furniture.</p> <p>Cllr Catherine Powell asked around timescales for installation of signage by Hampshire County Council at the M3 junction. Elaine Martin confirmed that timescales had not been given for this by Hampshire CC at the regular project meetings. Cllr Powell highlighted that the Community HGV watch was still ongoing and at meetings with HGV operators they indicated that the route is still not clearly signposted. Cllr Powell asked if the signage could be raised with Hampshire County Council to get hopefully get this installed as soon possible. The</p>

	Item
	<p>Chair confirmed that he was meeting with Hampshire CC shortly and would raise this item then.</p> <p>ACTION: Cllr Tim Oliver to raise A3 HGV signage at his upcoming meeting with the Leader of Hampshire County Council</p>
4	<p>Town Centre update</p> <p>Chris Greenwood (Atkins) presented an overview of the findings from the Summer 2022 consultation and attendees were reminded that that Option B had been the preferred option from the public consultation.</p> <p>A map was shared of Option B which highlighted a green shaded area of the town where the consultation proposals were accepted at the December 2022 Farnham Board, subject to decisions on the detail such as public seating and loading bay locations, and a purple area where the views on the proposals were mixed and required further decisions and traffic modelling.</p> <p>The options presented for the town were shown with routing plans, advantages and disadvantages highlighted for each one.</p> <p>Option V – minimal change</p> <p>Cllr Beaman expressed concern and need, on behalf of Farnham Town Council at the proposal to include traffic lights at the junction on South Street and Union Road.</p> <p>Option W – South Street cycle or bus improvements</p> <p>It was clarified by Chris Greenwood that this option would be able to provide improvements for either cyclists or buses, but not both.</p> <p>Cllr Beaman commented that he did not feel that the number of buses currently using South Street would justify a bus lane being installed.</p> <p>Cllr MacLeod agreed with Cllr Beaman’s comment and commented that the existing cycle lane should be moved to the opposite side of the road where there are less entrances onto the road. Cllr MacLeod also highlighted the need to consider traffic light phasing at the Royal Deer junction if a two-way cycle lane was installed on South Street.</p> <p>Cllr Peter Clark highlighted the current usage of South Street and that capacity is often stretched with the current road layout and feels that traffic modelling would be key to consider the impact of this proposed option.</p> <p>The Chair commented that it was appropriate that this option had been included within the set of proposals but acknowledged the lack of support for the option from attendees.</p> <p>Option X – East Street Closed Option Y – East Street Bus only Option Z – Reverse East St and Woolmead Road Gyrotory</p> <p>The Chair highlighted to attendees the need to narrow down the number of options and felt that two could easily be discounted.</p>

	Item
	<p>Elaine Martin confirmed that the options had been shared with Farnham TC, Waverley BC and Surrey CC members and officers and their feedback has been collated.</p> <p>Elaine Martin commented on the need for the Board to reach collective agreement to narrow the options down and also highlighted the impact that the Woolmead, East Steet (Brightwells) and South Street developments would have on the town in conjunction with this work. The modelling work would take several weeks, so it is important that the Board agrees a reduced number of options that could be taken forward for modelling, which would then be presented and discussed at the next Board meeting.</p> <p>Richard Franklin (Atkins) responded to Cllr MacLeod's previous comment relating to the phasing of lights at Royal Deer junction and confirmed that options for 3 phases for the light were being looked at for multiple Options, not just Option W. Iain Lynch commented that there was a safety issue at the junction as people didn't necessarily cross according to the phasing and requested that officers working on this observed usage of the junction a part of the work.</p> <p>Cllr MacLeod commented that at a recent meeting to discuss the options that the Town Council offered their support for Option V (minimal change) and Option Y. Consideration for deliveries to East Street was also highlighted as a need if Option Y was pursued. The Chair asked Cllr MacLeod for clarification on his comment relating to access to East Street, and whether it was Option X (East Street closed) or Option Y (East Street buses only) which was supported, and whether within Option Y the preference was for the road to be open to just buses, or all traffic. Cllr MacLeod confirmed that Option Y was supported, with access available for buses and possibly cyclists, but with access for deliveries also available. Chris Greenwood commented that access for deliveries and service vehicles would be provided within the plans, but details around this would need to be considered in later stages for the work.</p> <p>The Chair confirmed that following discussions and Cllr MacLeod's comments from Farnham Town Council that Option X (East Street closed) would be removed due to lack of support for this option.</p> <p>Cllr Powell highlighted the impact that deliveries to East Street had in relation to bus travel and requested that this was looked at further and highlighted the junction at the opposite end of East Street as a concern. Cllr Powell added support to Option Y but highlighted the need to minimise conflict between pedestrians and cyclists as part of any changes. Cllr Clark supported the proposal to make Woolmead Road two-way, to enable changes to then be made to East Street.</p> <p>The Chair summarised that the discussions so far had provided broad agreement for Options V and Y to be modelled and that Option X had been withdrawn due to lack of support. The Chair asked for board members for thoughts around Option W (bus/cycle improvements to South Street). Cllr Powell suggested that Options V and Y were initially modelled before others were considered, as Option W would reduce South Street down to one lane, which would negatively impact traffic flow in the town. Cllr MacLeod highlighted that one of the leaders of the Local Cycling Campaign does not currently support the cycling in South Street.</p> <p>The Chair summarised that there was no support voiced for Option W and confirmed that officers would go and model Options V and Y.</p> <p>Cllr Beaman asked if the options would impact the traffic lights on Victoria Road. Chris Greenwood confirmed that the proposal was to retain the traffic lights at Victoria Road and to add traffic lights at Union Road, but that this would be subject to the modelling work, which would highlight any issues with these proposals. Elaine Martin highlighted that the recent</p>

	Item
	<p>discussions with Waverley BC around the planning application for the junction had highlighted that is regularly used by vulnerable users and this needed to be considered.</p> <p>Cllr Michaela Martin highlighted the impact to the retail sector of all options and requested that all work undertaken and road closures in the town are well coordinated to minimise the disruption they would cause to retailers. Elaine Martin responded that officers would be reaching out to local businesses to understand their requirements, such as access and delivery times, so that this is shared with the contractors early before any work commences.</p> <p>Cllr Powell requested that a stakeholder engagement session of councillors and local businesses is undertaken so that the impact of works within the town can be fully explored and mitigations can be planned with the contractors. The Chair agreed that this work needed to be carried out in a planned way to minimise disruption to the town.</p> <p>Cllr Clark highlighted the need for improved public transport to increase access into the town from outside the area by those using public transport. Cllr Clark also added support to the request for management of the works within the town to minimise disruption. Cllr Beaman commented that Downing Street is a critical bus route and that the impact that any temporary road closures would have would need to be shared with bus companies with sufficient notice to enable route adaptations to be undertaken.</p> <p>The Chair confirmed that Surrey County Council was committed to investing in public transports to enable the shift of residents from cars to public transport or other methods of transport like cycling and highlighted the need to work with the contractors to minimise disruption within the town during the works.</p> <p>The Chair confirmed the collective agreement to take options V and Y forward to the modelling stages, and that the findings would be brought back to the Board at the next meeting.</p> <p>DECISION: Option V and Option Y to be modelled.</p>
5	<p>Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) update</p> <p>Elaine Martin provided an update on work which has been undertaken since the previous Board meeting. A workshop was held with Surrey, Waverley and Farnham councillors and officers to discuss the LCWIP, and the report has now been updated following these discussions. Elaine Martin asked the Board to endorse the Strategic Investment Plan following the amendments. The next stage of the work is further engagement on the routes, as some routes which have scored highly need to be assessed for deliverability, feasibility and opportunity of funding.</p> <p>The Chair thanked Cllr Powell for their work on the LWCIP. Cllr Powell thanked the programme team for taking on board the councillors' comments and concerns around the feasibility of some of the suggested routes. Cllr Powell proposed the creation of an Active Travel Working Group with the same attendees at the workshop previously mentioned, and this group would work to develop schemes which were feasible, deliverable and avoided conflicts between cyclists and pedestrians.</p> <p>Cllr Clark commented on recent local press relating to the LWCIP and supported the proposal that Stage 2 of the LWCIP proposals would allow all interested local residents to involved in developing the proposals. Cllr Beaman supported the comments of Cllr Powell, and asked whether any of the proposed LCWIP routes were already confirmed. Elaine Martin responded that the routes highlighted in the LWCIP were strategic in nature and essentially were 'highest</p>

	Item
	<p>scoring' routes connected key origins and destinations. These do not preclude others being considered in consultation with local stakeholders. Elaine Martin highlighted that some routes are already being delivered, such as Borelli's, the Town Centre work and Water Lane, which are all schemes to improve walking and cycling routes.</p> <p>Cllr MacLeod supported Cllr Powell's comments and was supportive of a strategic LCWIP rather than fixed routes. The Chair supported Cllr Powell's suggestion and requested that this group was set up to be inclusive to all residents to enable their input. The Chair confirmed the Board's endorsement with the Strategic LCWIP proposals presented.</p>
6	<p>Farnham A31 Corridor update</p> <p>The Chair commented that the Business Case for the A31 Corridor had been submitted to the DfT Investment Committee in November 21 and received positive feedback, but no formal response has been received on the proposals.</p> <p>Iain Lynch asked for an update on discussions with train operations and the land around the station as this would impact on Hickleys Corner. Elaine Martin confirmed that regular meetings are held with rail operators, however all discussions are hinged on the outcome of the DfT bid. Ian Lynch requested that the Town Council were involved at an early stage around any discussions.</p> <p>The Chair confirmed all further work was dependant of a response from DfT on the bid and then conversation around this element could continue.</p>
7	<p>Wrecclesham and Western Bypasses update</p> <p>Elaine Martin highlighted work that Atkins has undertaken on a feasibility report of the environmental and cost impact of the scheme. This report has led to an internal review of the scheme and a draft report on this is underway but is not yet finalised.</p>
8	<p>Adjacent Projects update</p> <p>Wrecclesham Bridge</p> <p>Elaine Martin commented that the Highways Safety Team have been working positively with Network Rail on this. Surrey has worked to cut back vegetation to improve visibility and in April 23 Network Rail will start work on replacing the chevrons on the top of the bridge. The road will also be closed, at a later date, to allow for the structure to be painted in a lighter colour and other works by Network Rail, as this is unable to take place due to the current closure of West Street for water works.</p> <p>Cllr Martin expressed disappointment that an option of a tunnel alongside the bridge has been discounted. Elaine Martin confirmed that the report written by the Highways Safety Team work had related to bridge strikes, rather than pedestrian access, but that this option had been included within the report.</p> <p>A31 Speed Management Scheme</p>

	Item
	<p>Elaine Martin shared that this work is also being delivered by the Highways Safety Team. The work as gone out to consultation and no objections were received. A map was shared with attendees to highlight the area of road where the speed limit will be reduced from 60pmh to 50mph.</p>
9	<p>Programme and Risk update</p> <p>Elaine Martin provided an overview of the key milestones for the projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a slight delay with the wayfinding mapping due to the complexity of the artwork and the permissions surrounding private land. Therefore some wayfinding totems are outstanding; those to be sited at the station (Network Rail land) and Brightwell's Yard. • A delay in the 20pmh work was highlighted, due to the need to undertake a second consultation, following revisions to the physical proposals. • New dates for the Borelli's and Water Lane work will be presented at the next Board meeting following profiling work. • The LCWIP milestone has now changed, as this is a strategic document for 10 years of investment. • The DfT Business Case was submitted in November 2021 but a formal response has not yet been received and is outside of Surrey CC's control. <p>Elaine Martin highlighted the programme risks in relation to the current economic climate, along with changing government policies relating to the work. Key opportunities to resurface Farnham Park, South Street and Union Road have arisen, enabling this work to be undertaken sooner than was planned.</p> <p>Cllr Clark commented that he hoped that after the June meeting the Board would be able to progress to the next steps of planning and delivery to enable the projected completed date of October 2025 to be met for the works to the Town Centre. The Chair confirmed that he was aware of the need to deliver as quickly as possible and was committed that officers would continue to progress the work quickly. The Chair thanked all 3 councils for their work to get the schemes to this stage.</p>
10	<p>Questions and discussion</p> <p>No public questions were submitted in advance of the meeting.</p>
11	<p>AOB</p> <p>There will be workshops for the 3 Council to be held to discuss the next stages of the works for the town. Elaine Martin asked for details of the programme of upcoming meetings for Waverley and Farnham councils, so that workshops could fit into this so that they can be discussed at council meetings.</p> <p>The next meeting is 16 June 2023 and will be held at Farnham Town Council.</p>



Farnham Infrastructure Programme

Farnham Board Meeting Items 3-9 – Progress Update



Farnham Infrastructure Programme

Farnham Board Meeting

Items 3-9

DATE: 16 June 2023

REPORT OF: TIM OLIVER – BOARD CHAIRMAN

LEAD OFFICER: ELAINE MARTIN – PROGRAMME MANAGER

SUBJECT: PROGRESS UPDATE

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

This paper summarises the activity and progress achieved since the March 2023 Board, including any matters of note arising during this period, and outlines the activity which will be carried out up until the next Farnham Board in September 2023.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is recommended that the Board:

1. Notes the progress update provided; and
2. Notes the ongoing update to the programme schedule and the associated revisions to forecast milestones.

REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

To ensure the Farnham Board (Sponsoring Group) is aware of the programme progress to date and has visibility of future Programme activity.

Programme Projects Completed

Project	Element
Programme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vision developed. • Optimised Infrastructure Plan developed, consulted on and endorsed. • Medium term interventions sifted into allocated projects.
Wayfinding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategy completed and approved. • Artwork designed and approved. • Totems manufactured. • 11 of 15 totems installed.
20mph Limits/ Zones and Speed restrictions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility completed. • Detailed design completed for 3 zones. • Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) advertised for 3 areas. • TRO approved for Weydon Lane and town centre.
A325 reclassification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility study and surveys completed. • Detailed design approved. • Construction completed.
Re-routing of Heavy Goods Vehicles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility study and surveys completed. • TRO advertised and finalised. • Detailed design completed. • Construction completed. • Review of other areas and negotiation with National Highways and Hampshire County Council.
Local Cycling and Walking Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategy finalised and endorsed.
Town Centre Improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility and surveys completed. • Future town visualisation and virtual reality completed. • Public consultation. • Review and design of East Street developer works. • Strategic modelling completed.
Farnham A31 Corridor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility design completed. • Public consultation. • Submission of Strategic Outline Business Case to DfT for funding.
Western and Wrecclesham Bypasses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alignment of policy review completed.
Water Lane Roundabout	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preliminary design completed. • Successful Community Infrastructure bid – awarded £400k.
Borelli Park and Stride	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engagement with developer on redesign of the connecting pedestrian and cycling bridge.

Activities carried out since the previous board

Re-routing of Heavy Goods Vehicles

- Continued engagement with Hampshire County Council to request for Heavy Goods Vehicle rerouting signage. This item has been raised by Cllr Oliver with the Leader of Hampshire County Council.

Wayfinding Strategy

- Submission of land consents application for totems at Farnham Station.
- Finalisation of the artwork and sign placement checklists for totems as part of second phase of installation.
- Manufacture of remaining totems for second phase of installation.
- Installation of remaining totems within Farnham town centre and at Farnham Station commenced.

20mph Limits/ Zones and Speed Restrictions

- Finalisation of construction drawings.
- Contractor mobilisation for installation of signage on Weydon Lane and Farnham town centre.
- Discussion with SCC teams for options to extend 20mph speed limits along Upper Hale Road.

Reclassifying the A325

- Continued review of google maps.

Borelli Park and Stride

- Engagement with Crest Nicolson (developer at Brightwells), Farnham Town Council (FTC) and Waverley Borough Council (WBC) on interdependencies with Brightwell's development and design of the bridge and shared cycleway connecting Brightwell's Yard to Borelli Walk.
- Developer redesign of the pedestrian and cycle bridge connecting Borelli Park and Stride scheme and Brightwell's Yard.

Water Lane Roundabout

- Detailed design commenced.
- Topographical survey complete.
- Trial trenches and drainage surveys commissioned.

Town Centre Improvements

- Re-design of Brightwell's - East Street S278 Brightwell's scheme by Programme Team.
- Strategic traffic modelling for the hybrid options V and Y.
- Commenced traffic microsimulation of the hybrid options V and Y.
- Design of key junctions.
- Air Quality emissions study on the hybrid options V and Y commenced.

Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP)

- Review of the Farnham LCWIP sign off report by SCC Officers.
- Request for feasibility funding for Farnham.

Farnham A31 Corridor

- Awaiting outcome from Department for Transport.

Western and Wrecclesham Bypasses

- Environmental and cost report drafted by Atkins.

Wrecclesham Bridge

- Wrecclesham Bridge feasibility report completed by Atkins.
- Network Rail installation of bridge warning chevrons.

- Liaison with Network Rail for better signage than installed.

A31 Speed Management Scheme

- Ongoing discussions with SCC's Maintenance Team to align installation works with planned drainage improvements therefore sharing traffic management costs.
- Review of costs for implementation.

Activity up until the next Board

Re-routing of HGVs

- Ongoing engagement with developer and Hampshire County Council.

Wayfinding Strategy

- Installation of totems at Farnham Station.

20mph Limits/ Zones and Speed restrictions

- Installation of signage in Weydon Lane and Town Centre (signage in Upper Hale to be installed in parallel with proposed speed calming measures).
- Continued preparation for consultation on TRO for speed tables on Upper Hale Road.
- Advertisement of TRO for speed tables on Upper Hale Road.
- TRO for extension of 20mph speed limits on Upper Hale Road.

Reclassifying the A325

- Continued review of google maps.

Borelli Park and Stride

- Planning position confirmed on bridge design.
- Review of arboricultural requirements for design.
- Instruction to Atkins for feasibility, design and costing of scheme.
- Commence process for making a Cycle Track Order and Planning Application for the Borelli Park and Stride route, including initial consultation.

Water Lane Roundabout

- Submission of business case to SCC Infrastructure Board.
- Instruction and completion of Stage 1 and 2 Road Safety Audit.
- Statutory utility searches.
- Continuing with detailed design.
- Engagement with disability groups.
- Communication strategy to be developed.
- Drainage survey and trial trenches to be completed.

Town Centre Improvements

- Review of car park information from Guildford Borough Council to inform preliminary design.
- Present Cabinet paper to commence detailed design of preferred option for the town centre, following recommendation from Farnham Board, and capital spend.
- Ongoing air quality analysis including mapping.
- Initial engagement with businesses and disability groups.

Project 2 – LCWIP

- Sign off of Farnham LCWIP.
- Review of options for feasibility funding.

Project 3 - Farnham A31 Corridor

- Awaiting outcome from Department for Transport.

Project 4 - Western and Wrecclesham Bypasses

- Review of next activities

Wrecclesham Bridge

- Continued liaison with Network Rail for better signage than installed.

Key Milestones

The key milestone summary of the Programme schedule is provided in Table 1 below.

Table 1 - Programme Milestones

Project	Milestone	Previous forecast	Current Forecast	Status/ Comments
Rerouting of HGVs	Construction complete	Oct-21	Oct-21	Additional signage on A287/B3349 roundabout being reviewed.
Removing A road category status	Construction complete	Oct-21	Oct-21	
Wayfinding Strategy	Construction complete	May-23	June-23	Installation dates impacted by the works on West Street.
20 mph Zones & Speed Restrictions	Construction complete (first phase)	Jun-23	Jun-23	
Water Lane	Detailed design complete		Oct 23	
Borelli Park and Stride	Preliminary Design complete		TBC	Preliminary design commencement dependant on planning design of bridge.
Town Centre Improvements	Construction complete	Oct-25	Oct-25	

LCWIP	Strategic plan endorsed	Mar-23	Mar-23	
Farnham A31 Corridor	Construction complete	TBC	TBC	Still awaiting outcome of DfT bid, once notified the programme will be reprofiled.
Wrecclesham Bypass	Construction complete	Jul-29	Jul-29	Review of scheme viability
North and South Farnham Studies	TBA	N/A	N/A	Review following town centre modelling outcomes
Western Bypass	TBA	N/A	N/A	Review of scheme viability

Key Programme Risks

The current key risks for the Programme are provided in Table 2 below. These risks are actively managed through the Programme risk management approach.

Table 2 - Programme Risks

Risk title	Risk description
Funding Availability Programme Wide	Risk of lack of funding available to deliver the full programme.
Alignment with evolving central government policy	There is increased number of changes to governmental policy which may affect the business case (s). Additional time and cost impact due to reshaping of existing business case to suit new requirements.
Project Costs	Given the cost assumptions made to date, there are concerns that once the projects are more defined, they may become unaffordable for FIP.
Scope Creep	As a result of additional work being added to the programme, there is a risk that additional work may reduce the funding available for other projects and schemes within FIP. Finances for the programme are highly constrained.
Inflation	As a result of increased inflation costs in 2022 (~7-10%), there is likely to be an increase in costs previously estimated for the Programme.
Managing Stakeholder Expectations	As part of the programme, there are many stakeholders, with differing aspirations for the Programme. This results in many contrasting views to consider as part of the solutions delivered within the Farnham Infrastructure Programme.

Risk management and implications

The Board has no statutory powers and as such any decisions requiring approval by the responsible authorities, in this case Surrey County Council, will have individual risk assessments.

Financial and value for money implications

The cost and value for money in respect of the works will be identified within the Surrey County Council Report.

Section 151 officer commentary

As proposals are developed some may require Surrey County Council approval, individual S151 approvals will be sought.

Legal implications – Monitoring Officer

The Board has no executive powers, therefore any decisions made would require Surrey County Council to follow its own legal advice and its approval procedures.

Equalities and diversity

A Programme-level Equality Impact Assessment was carried out in August 2021. This was approved by the Programme Team at the September 2021 Programme Board and indicated that there are currently no substantive concerns associated with the Programme's proposals based upon the level of information available.

Other implications

There are no other implications in respect of this Report.

What happens next

FIP activity will continue in line with the summary provided above.

Contact Officer:

Elaine Martin
Programme Manager
Elaine.Martin@surreycc.gov.uk